Thomas Kober @tttthomassss



PyData Edinburgh 4th Apr 2019 (1554398100)

The world is full of \$! FNTLY OYERFLOWING integers

- The world is full of \$iffently everypering integers
- In my last lightning talk, I've shown that SIFFNT INTEGER
 OYERFLOWS can happen in numpy and scipy

- The world is full of \$iffently everypering integers
- In my last lightning talk, I've shown that **STERT INTEGER OYERFLOWS** can happen in numpy and scipy
- In this, we'll have a look at some other commonly used libraries

Bring on the failing Code

Bring on the failing Code

• (Note to self: open the Jupyter Notebook now)





• Is this a python-specific thing?



- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:



- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave



- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave



- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave



Matlab

- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave
 - Matlab



- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave
 - Matlab



• Julia

- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave
 - Matlab
 - Julia





- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave
 - Matlab
 - Julia





• R

- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave
 - Matlab
 - Julia
 - R







- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave
 - Matlab
 - Julia
 - R





OK, that looks and lead

- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:

It fails

- Octave
- Matlab
- Julia
- R





OK, that looks fail 10 060

- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave
 - Matlab
 - Julia
 - R



It fails

It fails



OK, that looks and looks

- Is this a python-specific thing?
- Not really, I've tried the same with a few other languages:
 - Octave
 - Matlab
 - Julia



It fails

It fails



It works, no silent integer overflows in Julia!!!

• R



• There are two important questions to ask

- There are two important questions to ask
 - Why does it **FAIL** for **integers**?

- There are two important questions to ask
 - Why does it **FAIL** for **integers**?
 - Why does it NOT fail for **floats**?

- There are two important questions to ask
 - Why does it **FAIL** for **integers**?
 - Why does it NOT fail for **floats**?
- Why it doesn't fail for floats

- There are two important questions to ask
 - Why does it **FAIL** for **integers**?
 - Why does it NOT fail for **floats**?
- Why it doesn't fail for floats
 - Floating point errors are checked at the hardware level, i.e. the FPU sets a flag whenever something goes wrong. Errors are propagated upwards. This is very efficient.

- There are two important questions to ask
 - Why does it **FAIL** for **integers**?
 - Why does it NOT fail for **floats**?
- Why it doesn't fail for floats
 - Floating point errors are checked at the hardware level, i.e. the FPU sets a flag whenever something goes wrong. Errors are propagated upwards. This is very efficient.
- Why it fails for integers

- There are two important questions to ask
 - Why does it **FAIL** for **integers**?
 - Why does it NOT fail for **floats**?
- Why it doesn't fail for floats
 - Floating point errors are checked at the hardware level, i.e. the FPU sets a flag whenever something goes wrong. Errors are propagated upwards. This is very efficient.
- Why it fails for integers
 - Integer overflows are NOT checked at the hardware level. Any client code needs to
 implement its own checks. In the case of numpy, the issue is known since at
 least 2009, but there was a deliberate decision to NOT check integer overflows in arrays
 for performance reasons (see here and here).